arXiv:gr-qc/0703045v22 11 May 2010

Conserving integrals in [non-]metric theories of gravitation

Dimitry Palatnik *

May 12, 2010

Abstract

In this paper I present location to first mentioning to result of Robert Geroch. Paper deals with conserving quantities of metric (in first part of work) and non-metric (in second part of the work) gravitational theories. They are constructed from Killing vector fields (if any exists) and symmetric tensors of arbitrary rank with vanishing divergence. I also offer alternative approach by introducing spinorial fields, allowing to construct conserving integrals of energy-momentum *etc.*

1 Conservation of energy-momentum and angular momenta

Result presented in this section (formula (5) below, also see [7]) was reported on seminar in EFI at University of Chicago and belongs to Robert Geroch.

Problem of conserving integrals in general relativity is as old as theory itself, and one should recognize effort put by people in dealing with it. Most important result is that of Landau and Lifshits [1], who constructed symmetric pseudo-tensor, describing energy-momentum of gravitational field. One should acknowledge works[1] of Tolman [3], Papapetrou [4], Bergmann [5], Møller [6], Weinberg [8].

Consider n-dim spacetime with metric $g_{c_0c_1}$. Use characters $\{c_0...c_9\}$ as placeholders for tensorial indices, each running n values $0...n-1$. Class of theories is specified by action,

$$S = -k^{-1}\int d\Omega\sqrt{|g|}R + \int d\Omega\sqrt{|g|}\Lambda[g_{c_0c_1};\psi]\ . \tag{1}$$

Here $d\Omega$ and R are volume element and scalar curvature, respectively; g is metric determinant and Λ is lagrangian density of matter depending on metric and other fields specified for short by ψ. If $T^{c_1c_2}$ is stress-energy, corresponding to Λ, then

$$T^{c_0c_1} = T^{(c_0c_1)}\ ; \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla_{c_0}T^{c_0c_1} = 0\ . \tag{3}$$

Let ξ_{c_1} be Killing vector field, i.e. solution of equations

$$\nabla_{(c_1}\xi_{c_2)} = 0\ . \tag{4}$$

Then from (2)–(4) it follows

$$\nabla_{c_0}\{T^{c_0c_1}\xi_{c_1}\} = \xi_{c_1}\nabla_{c_0}T^{c_0c_1} + T^{c_0c_1}\nabla_{(c_0}\xi_{c_1)} = 0\ . \tag{5}$$

Integrating (5) over n-dim volume and using respective Gauss theorem with appropriate boundary of integration, one obtains conserving integral,

$$Q = \int d\Sigma_{c_0}\sqrt{|g|}T^{c_0c_1}\xi_{c_1}\ . \tag{6}$$

Here $d\Sigma_{c_0}$ is element of integration over $(n-1)$-dim hypersurface. In flat spacetime and Cartesian coordinates, $\{x^{c_0}\}$, solution of (4) is

$$\xi_{c_0} = p_{c_0} + \omega_{c_0c_1}x^{c_1}\ , \tag{7}$$

---

*The Waterford A Nursing & Rehab Centre 7445 N Sheridan Rd Chicago IL 60626-1818 USA, david_palatnik@yahoo.com

[1] Specified in [9].

where n components of p_{c_0} and $n(n-1)/2$ components of $\omega_{c_1c_2} = \omega_{[c_1c_2]}$ are arbitrary. Substituting (7) into (6), one obtains

$$Q = p_{c_0}P^{c_0} + \omega_{c_1c_2}M^{c_1c_2} \ , \tag{8}$$

where

$$P^{c_1} = \int d\Sigma_{c_0}\sqrt{|g|}T^{c_0c_1} \ ; \tag{9}$$

$$M^{c_1c_2} = \int d\Sigma_{c_0}\sqrt{|g|}\{T^{c_0c_1}x^{c_2} - T^{c_0c_2}x^{c_1}\} \ . \tag{10}$$

Arbitrariness of coefficients p_{c_0} and $\omega_{c_1c_2}$ in (8) causes conservation of integrals (9), (10) individually. In 4-dim spacetime one may select $d\Sigma_{c_0} = (dV, 0, 0, 0)$, where dV is 3-dim element of integration over space, and recognize (9) as energy-momentum and (10) as angular momenta (including busts.) What if solution of (4) for, say, timelike Killing vector doesn't exist? Well, then respective integral corresponding to energy doesn't exist too. Situation is similar to that taking place in quantum mechanics, where existence of energy (conservation of hamiltonian) depends on existence of translational symmetry of system in time.

2 Generalizing on tensors of arbitrary rank

Suppose, Killing equations have q independent vectors as solutions $\{\xi^{(n)}_{c_0}\}$, where $n = 1...q$. Consider two separate cases. 1. Let $T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p}$ be symmetric, rank $(p+1)$ tensor with vanishing divergence,

$$T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p} = T^{(c_0c_1c_2...c_p)} \ ; \tag{11}$$

$$\nabla_{c_0}T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p} = 0 \ . \tag{12}$$

Construct vector,

$$T^{c_0\{m_1m_2...m_p\}} = T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p}\xi^{(m_1)}_{c_1}\xi^{(m_2)}_{c_2}...\xi^{(m_p)}_{c_p} \ ; \tag{13}$$

then it follows from (4), (11), and (12), that divergence of $T^{c_0\{m_1m_2...m_p\}}$ vanishes,

$$\nabla_{c_0}T^{c_0\{m_1m_2...m_p\}} = 0 \ , \tag{14}$$

and in analogy with (6) conserving integrals are

$$Q^{\{m_1m_2...m_p\}} = \int d\Sigma_{c_0}\sqrt{|g|}T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p}\xi^{(m_1)}_{c_1}\xi^{(m_2)}_{c_2}...\xi^{(m_p)}_{c_p} \ . \tag{15}$$

Here $Q^{\{m_1m_2...m_p\}} = Q^{\{(m_1m_2...m_p)\}}$, where $m_1...m_p = 1...q$, so one obtains $N = \frac{(p+q-1)!}{p!(q-1)!}$ conserving integrals for that case. Here N is number of components of symmetric object of rank p with each index running q values.[2]
2. Take symmetric tensor of rank $(p+1)$ with vanishing divergence $T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p}$, so that formulae (11), (12) still hold, but assume now that it's traceless,

$$g_{c_0c_1}T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p} = 0 \ . \tag{16}$$

Consider set of solutions $\{\chi^{(l)}_{c_0}\}$, $l = 1...r$ to second type of Killing equations,

$$\nabla_{(c_1}\chi^{(l)}_{c_2)} = g_{c_1c_2} \ . \tag{17}$$

Use notation for combined set of vectors $\{Y^k_{c_0}\} = \{\xi^{(1)}_{c_0}...\xi^{(q)}_{c_0}; \chi^{(1)}_{c_0}...\chi^{(r)}_{c_0}\}$, $k = 1...q+r$. Then, analogously to previous pattern, construct conserving (according to (4), (11), (12), (16), and (17)) currents $J^{c_0\{k_1k_2...k_p\}}$,

$$\nabla_{c_0}J^{c_0\{k_1k_2...k_p\}} = 0 \ . \tag{18}$$

Here

$$J^{c_0\{k_1k_2...k_p\}} = T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p}Y^{k_1}_{c_1}Y^{k_2}_{c_2}...Y^{k_p}_{c_p} \ . \tag{19}$$

Respective conserving integrals are

$$Q^{\{k_1k_2...k_p\}} = \int d\Sigma_{c_0}\sqrt{|g|}T^{c_0c_1c_2...c_p}Y^{k_1}_{c_1}Y^{k_2}_{c_2}...Y^{k_p}_{c_p} \ . \tag{20}$$

---

[2] I wish to thank Boris Tsirelson for updating me on the formula for N.

One may consider a more general case of Killing eqs.,

$$\nabla_{(c_1}\chi_{c_2)} = g_{c_1c_2}\phi \ , \tag{21}$$

where ϕ is scalar, constructed from relevant geometric objects, e.g., Riemann tensor, Killing vector, metric tensor. If solution of (21) exists, it contributes to integral(s) (20). As it's shown below (formulae (72), (73), with $\chi_{c_0} = \overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\xi$) solution to (21) does exist for $\phi = a + \lambda_{c_0}x^{c_0}$ in Cartesian coordinates.

3 A few examples

1. Introduce first example in order to test vector χ_{c_0}. Consider 4-dim flat spacetime and take Cartesian coordinates, $\{x^{c_0}\} = (ct, x^\alpha)$. Metric is $g_{c_1c_2} = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1)$. Take stress-energy of electromagnetic field with no charges, $T^{c_1c_2} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\{-F^{c_1}_{\ c_3}F^{c_2c_3} + \frac{1}{4}g^{c_1c_2}F_{c_3c_4}F^{c_3c_4}\}$, which is traceless, symmetric, and divergence free. Found from (17) solution for χ_{c_0} is

$$\chi_{c_0} = g_{c_0c_1}x^{c_1} \equiv x_{c_0} \ . \tag{22}$$

Then, taking $d\Sigma_{c_0} = (dV, 0, 0, 0)$, one obtains

$$ct\int dV T^0_0 + \int dV T^0_\alpha x^\alpha = constant. \tag{23}$$

Eq. (23) describes propagation of electromagnetic radiation. Static cases, which refer to configurations with non-zero charges, are not valid since charges contribute their own stress-energy with nonvanishing trace.

2. Second example is Bel-Robinson tensor [7], which in Ricci-flat 4-dim spacetime ($R_{c_1c_2} = 0$) is

$$T^{c_1c_2c_3c_4} = R^{c_1\ c_3}_{\ c_5\ c_6}R^{c_2c_5c_4c_6} + \tilde{R}^{c_1\ c_3}_{\ c_5\ c_6}\tilde{R}^{c_2c_5c_4c_6} \ , \tag{24}$$

where

$$\tilde{R}_{c_1c_2c_3c_4} = \frac{1}{2}e_{c_1c_2c_5c_6}R^{c_5c_6}_{\ \ \ \ c_3c_4} \ . \tag{25}$$

Here $e_{c_1c_2c_3c_4}$ is absolute skew unit tensor. Bel-Robinson tensor (24) is symmetric, traceless, and divergence free:

$$T^{c_1c_2c_3c_4} = T^{(c_1c_2c_3c_4)} \ ; \tag{26}$$

$$g_{c_1c_2}T^{c_1c_2c_3c_4} = 0 \ ; \tag{27}$$

$$\nabla_{c_1}T^{c_1c_2c_3c_4} = 0 \ . \tag{28}$$

One obtains conserving integrals (20) ($p = 3$), if Killing vectors do coexist with non-zero Bel-Robinson tensor.

3. Third example is Lanczos-Lovelock tensor, $S^{c_1c_2} = 2\tau^{c_1c_2}$, which is non-zero if $n \neq 4$;

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{c_1c_2} \ = \ & R^{c_1}_{\ c_3c_4c_5}R^{c_2c_3c_4c_5} - 2R_{c_3c_4}R^{c_3c_1c_4c_2} - 2R^{c_1}_{c_3}R^{c_2c_3} + RR^{c_1c_2} \\ & -\frac{1}{4}g^{c_1c_2}(R_{c_3c_4c_5c_6}R^{c_3c_4c_5c_6} - 4R_{c_3c_4}R^{c_3c_4} + R^2) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Tensor (29) is symmetric and divergence free, so conserving integrals are (15) ($p = 1$; $T^{c_0c_1} = \tau^{c_0c_1}$.)

4. As fourth example take another conserving integral for Ricci-flat 4-dim spacetime. Let ξ_{c_0} be Killing vector field. First, introduce twist,

$$\omega_{c_1} = e_{c_1c_2c_3c_4}\xi^{c_2}\nabla^{c_3}\xi^{c_4} \ . \tag{30}$$

As it's known [7], twist satisfies equations

$$\nabla_{[c_1}\omega_{c_2]} = 0 \ ; \tag{31}$$

$$\omega_{c_0}\xi^{c_0} = 0 \ . \tag{32}$$

Construct vector,

$$Q^{c_1} = R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4}\omega_{c_2}\nabla_{c_3}\xi_{c_4} \ . \tag{33}$$

My intention is to prove, that

$$\nabla_{c_0}Q^{c_0} = 0 \ . \tag{34}$$

Really,

$$\nabla_{c_1} Q^{c_1} = (\nabla_{c_1} R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4})\omega_{c_2}\nabla_{c_3}\xi_{c_4} + R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4}(\nabla_{[c_1}\omega_{c_2]})\nabla_{c_3}\xi_{c_4} + R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4}\omega_{c_2}\nabla_{c_1}\nabla_{c_3}\xi_{c_4} \ . \tag{35}$$

First term in r.h.s. of (35) vanishes due to Bianchi identity,

$$\nabla_{c_1} R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4} = \nabla^{c_3} R^{c_2c_4} - \nabla^{c_4} R^{c_2c_3} \ ; \tag{36}$$

second term vanishes due to (31), and for third term use known [7] equation

$$\nabla_{c_1}\nabla_{c_2}\xi_{c_3} = -R_{c_2c_3c_1c_4}\xi^{c_4} \ ; \tag{37}$$

so it follows

$$\begin{aligned} R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4}\omega_{c_2}(\nabla_{c_1}\nabla_{c_3}\xi_{c_4}) &= -R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4}R_{c_1c_5c_3c_4}\xi^{c_5}\omega_{c_2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4}R_{c_1c_2c_3c_4}\xi^{c_5}\omega_{c_5} \\ &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

In second line of (38) I've used fact, that Lanczos-Lovelock tensor (29) vanishes identically if $n = 4$, and third line is due to (32). This completes proof of (34). Thus, conserving integral is

$$Q = \int d\Sigma_{c_1}\sqrt{-g}R^{c_1c_2c_3c_4}\omega_{c_2}\nabla_{c_3}\xi_{c_4} \ . \tag{39}$$

4 Alternative approach: spinorial fields

Problem with approach presented above is following: Killing vector exists only for restricted class of solutions of Einstein equations. Point of this section is to suggest alternative (classical) 4-dim field theory, based on spinors $\overline{\xi}$ and ξ, of which one may construct vector $\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\xi$ (where γ_{c_0} ; $c_0 = 0...3$ are Dirac matrices) analogous to Killing one, ξ_{c_0}. In 4-dim spacetime one has 10 equations for 4 components of Killing vector. In theory, suggested below, one has formally one to one correspondence between number of unknown components of fields and number of equations imposed on them. In reality, though, one obtains also 10 equations for 8 complex components of spinors $\overline{\xi}$ and ξ. In general theory may have solutions for gravitational field configurations for which Killing vector doesn't exist. If Killing vector, ξ_{c_0}, does exist, then respective vector $\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\xi$ should coincide with it. Introduce Einstein tensor in stress-energy units, $G^{c_0c_1} = \frac{c^4}{8\pi k}\{R^{c_0c_1} - \frac{1}{2}g^{c_0c_1}R\}$. Here c is speed of light, and k is Newton constant of gravitational interaction. For reference I write

$$\nabla_{c_0} G^{c_0c_1} = 0 \ . \tag{40}$$

Sign of Riemann tensor is defined by relation, $\{\nabla_{c_0}\nabla_{c_1} - \nabla_{c_1}\nabla_{c_0}\}A^{c_2} = R^{c_2}_{\ c_3c_0c_1}A^{c_3}$. Introduce (complex) spinorial fields, $\overline{\xi}$ and ξ. By definition,

$$\nabla_{c_0}\xi = \partial_{c_0}\xi - \Gamma_{c_0}\xi \ ; \tag{41}$$

$$(\overline{\xi}\nabla_{c_0}) = \partial_{c_0}\overline{\xi} + \overline{\xi}\Gamma_{c_0} \ . \tag{42}$$

For covariantly constant Dirac matrices, γ_{c_0}, satisfying relations

$$\gamma_{(c_0}\gamma_{c_1)} = g_{c_0c_1} \ , \tag{43}$$

one obtains,

$$\nabla_{c_0}\gamma_{c_1} = \partial_{c_0}\gamma_{c_1} - \Gamma^{c_2}_{c_1c_0}\gamma_{c_2} - \Gamma_{c_0}\gamma_{c_1} + \gamma_{c_1}\Gamma_{c_0} = 0 \ . \tag{44}$$

Take action of spinorial fields,

$$S_\xi = \frac{\ell}{2c}\Re\int d\Omega\sqrt{-g}G^{c_0c_1}\{\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\nabla_{c_1}\xi - (\overline{\xi}\nabla_{c_1})\gamma_{c_0}\xi\} \ . \tag{45}$$

Here ℓ is characteristic length, considered to be *big* parameter. Respective stress-energy is

$$\begin{aligned} T_\xi^{(c_0c_1)} &= C\tau^{c_0c_1} + 2\ell\{2G^{(c_0}_{c_2}H^{c_1)c_2} - \frac{1}{2}G^{c_0c_1}H - \frac{1}{2}GH^{c_0c_1} - \frac{1}{2}g^{c_0c_1}(G^{c_2c_3}H_{c_2c_3} - \frac{1}{2}GH)\} \\ &+\frac{\ell}{2}\nabla_{c_2}\Re\{G^{c_0c_1}\overline{\xi}\gamma^{c_2}\xi + \frac{1}{2}G^{c_3c_0}\overline{\xi}\gamma^{[c_1}\gamma^{c_2]}\gamma_{c_3}\xi + \frac{1}{2}G^{c_3c_1}\overline{\xi}\gamma^{[c_0}\gamma^{c_2]}\gamma_{c_3}\xi\} \\ &-\ell G^{c_2(c_0}\Re\{\overline{\xi}\gamma^{c_1)}\nabla_{c_2}\xi\}\ ; \qquad (46) \\ T_\xi^{[c_0c_1]} &= \frac{\ell}{2}G^{c_2c_3}\Re\{(\overline{\xi}\nabla_{c_3})\gamma_{c_2}\gamma^{[c_0}\gamma^{c_1]}\xi + \overline{\xi}\gamma^{[c_0}\gamma^{c_1]}\gamma_{c_2}\nabla_{c_3}\xi\}\ , \qquad (47) \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \frac{\ell c^4}{8\pi k}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{c_0c_1} &= \nabla_{c_2}\nabla^{c_2}H^{c_0c_1} + g^{c_0c_1}\nabla_{c_2}\nabla_{c_3}H^{c_2c_3} - 2\nabla_{c_2}\nabla^{(c_0}H^{c_1)c_2}\ ; \qquad (48) \\ H_{c_0c_1} &= \overline{H}_{c_0c_1} - \frac{1}{2}g_{c_0c_1}\overline{H}\ ; \qquad (49) \\ \overline{H}_{c_0c_1} &= \frac{1}{2}\Re\{\overline{\xi}\gamma_{(c_0}\nabla_{c_1)}\xi - (\overline{\xi}\nabla_{(c_1})\gamma_{c_0)}\xi\}\ . \qquad (50) \end{aligned}$$

Here $G = G^{c_0}_{c_0}$; $\overline{H} = \overline{H}^{c_0}_{c_0}$, and $H = H^{c_0}_{c_0}$. Stress-energy is obtained by variation of action in components of tetrad vector, specified below. I've used formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{c_0} &= (e_{c_0})^C\Delta_C\ ; \qquad (51) \\ \Gamma_{c_0} &= \frac{1}{4}(e_{c_1})^B\nabla_{c_0}(e^{c_1})^A\Delta_{[A}\Delta_{B]}\ . \qquad (52) \end{aligned}$$

Here $(e_{c_0})^E$, $E = 0...3$, is tetrad, and Δ_E are standard constant Dirac matrices. Following formula is used for variation of tetrad vector:

$$(e^{c_0})^E\delta(e^{c_1})_E = (e^{[c_0})^E\delta(e^{c_1]})_E + \frac{1}{2}\delta g^{c_0c_1}\ .$$

It's interesting to observe, that part of stress-energy, $\tau^{c_0c_1}$ (48), has divergence, vanishing in Ricci-flat spacetime,

$$-\nabla_{c_0}\tau^{c_0c_1} = \{\nabla_{c_0}R^{c_1}_{c_2} + \nabla_{c_2}R^{c_1}_{c_0} - \nabla^{c_1}R_{c_0c_2}\}H^{c_0c_2} + 2R^{c_1}_{c_0}\nabla_{c_2}H^{c_0c_2}\ . \qquad (53)$$

It follows,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{c_0c_1}T_\xi^{c_0c_1} &= C\tau^{c_0}_{c_0} - \ell G^{c_0c_1}\Re\{\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\nabla_{c_1}\xi\}\ ; \qquad (54) \\ \tau^{c_0}_{c_0} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_{c_0}\left[\sqrt{-g}\left(\nabla_{c_1}\overline{H}^{c_0c_1} - g^{c_0c_1}\partial_{c_1}\overline{H}\right)\right]\ . \qquad (55) \end{aligned}$$

One may rewrite eq. (48) as

$$\tau^{c_0c_1} - \frac{1}{2}g^{c_0c_1}\tau^{c_2}_{c_2} = \nabla_{c_2}\nabla^{c_2}\overline{H}^{c_0c_1} - 2\nabla_{c_2}\nabla^{(c_0}\overline{H}^{c_1)c_2} + \nabla^{(c_0}\nabla^{c_1)}\overline{H}\ . \qquad (56)$$

Suppose, that lagrangian density of other fields with respective action S_f depends on tensor,

$$c_{c_0c_1\dots c_u} = \Re\overline{\xi}C_{c_0c_1\dots c_u}\xi\ , \qquad (57)$$

where $C_{c_0c_1\dots c_u}$ is algebraic operator. E.g., $C_{c_0c_1\dots c_u} = 1$; γ_{c_0}; $\gamma_{[c_0}\gamma_{c_1]}$;... Denote $M^{c_0c_1\dots c_u}$ covariant analog of functional derivative of S_f in $c_{c_0c_1\dots c_u}$,

$$\delta S_f = \frac{\ell}{c}\int d\Omega\sqrt{-g}M^{c_0c_1\dots c_u}\delta c_{c_0c_1\dots c_u}\ . \qquad (58)$$

Variation of action $S = S_\xi + S_f$ leads to equations for spinorial fields,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{c_0c_1}\gamma_{c_0}\nabla_{c_1}\xi + M^{c_0c_1\dots c_u}C_{c_0c_1\dots c_u}\xi &= 0\ ; \qquad (59) \\ G^{c_0c_1}(\overline{\xi}\nabla_{c_1})\gamma_{c_0} - M^{c_0c_1\dots c_u}\overline{\xi}C_{c_0c_1\dots c_u} &= 0\ . \qquad (60) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (59), (60) into (47), one obtains,

$$T_\xi^{[c_0c_1]} = \frac{\ell}{2}M^{c_2c_3\dots c_u}\Re\{\overline{\xi}C_{c_2c_3\dots c_u}\gamma^{[c_0}\gamma^{c_1]}\xi - \overline{\xi}\gamma^{[c_0}\gamma^{c_1]}C_{c_2c_3\dots c_u}\xi\}\ . \qquad (61)$$

Introduce vector,

$$J^{c_0}=G^{c_0c_1}\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_1}\xi\ . \tag{62}$$

One may write

$$\nabla_{c_0}J^{c_0}=(\nabla_{c_0}G^{c_0c_1})\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_1}\xi+G^{c_0c_1}(\overline{\xi}\nabla_{c_0})\gamma_{c_1}\xi+G^{c_0c_1}\overline{\xi}(\nabla_{c_0}\gamma_{c_1})\xi+G^{c_0c_1}\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_1}\nabla_{c_0}\xi=0\ . \tag{63}$$

Conservation of current J^{c_0} follows from (40), (44), (59), and (60). Integrating (63) over 4-dim volume with appropriate boundary and using Gauss theorem, one obtains (generally complex) conserving integral,

$$E=\int d\Sigma_{c_0}\sqrt{-g}G^{c_0c_1}\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_1}\xi\ . \tag{64}$$

Spinors should be properly selected in limit $k\to 0$, in order to make E (64) real. E.g., if $\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\xi$ corresponds to timelike Killing vector, responsable for energy conservation, then, say, in asymptotically flat spacetime it should have limiting value $(1,0,0,0)$. Assume below for simplicity that $C_{c_2c_3\ldots c_u}=0$; then, according to (61), antisymmetric part of stress-energy (47) is zero. Take Einstein-Hilbert action term together with matter (if any) and other action term(s), S_m: $S_f=(2c)^{-1}\int d\Omega\sqrt{-g}G+S_m$. Complete set of equations is:

$$G^{c_0c_1}\gamma_{c_0}\nabla_{c_1}\xi\ =\ 0\ ; \tag{65}$$

$$G^{c_0c_1}(\overline{\xi}\nabla_{c_1})\gamma_{c_0}\ =\ 0\ ; \tag{66}$$

$$G^{c_0c_1}-T_{\xi}^{(c_0c_1)}-T_m^{c_0c_1}\ =\ 0\ . \tag{67}$$

Eq. (67) contains stress-energy, $T_m^{c_0c_1}$, corresponding to S_m; e.g., electromagnetic stress-energy. In general case one should treat extra 6 eqs.,

$$T_{\xi}^{[c_0c_1]}=0\ , \tag{68}$$

because in tetrad formalism applied here one has 16 components of $(e_{c_0})^C$ in stead of 10 components of $g_{c_0c_1}$. According to (54), (65),

$$g_{c_0c_1}T_{\xi}^{c_0c_1}=C\tau_{c_0}^{c_0}\ . \tag{69}$$

5 Source-free dynamic case

Expand all fields in powers of ℓ^{-1} and in ℓ^0 approximation use Cartesian coordinates $\{x^{c_0}\}$; $|x^{c_0}|\ll\ell$. Take Lorentzian metric $g_{c_0c_1}=\mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1)$ and standard constant Dirac matrices, as ℓ^0 approximation. One observes, that $\nabla_{c_1}=\partial_{c_1}+O(k/c^2)$. Assume that sources of fields are absent. Spinorial fields are

$$\xi=a+\ell^{-1}x^{c_0}\gamma_{c_0}c\ ;\ \overline{\xi}=\overline{a}+\overline{c}\gamma_{c_0}x^{c_0}\ell^{-1}\ . \tag{70}$$

Here a; $\overline{a}$; c; $\overline{c}$ are constant spinors. From (48), (70) one obtains $\tau^{c_0c_1}=0$. Substituting (70) into (65), (66) one obtains,

$$G=g_{c_0c_1}\{T_{\xi}^{c_0c_1}+T_m^{c_0c_1}\}=0\ ; \tag{71}$$

$$\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\xi=p_{c_0}+\ell^{-1}\Omega_{c_0c_1}x^{c_1}+\ell^{-1}\omega g_{c_0c_1}x^{c_1}+2\ell^{-2}\lambda_{c_1}g_{c_0c_2}x^{c_1}x^{c_2}-\ell^{-2}\lambda_{c_0}g_{c_1c_2}x^{c_1}x^{c_2}\ , \tag{72}$$

where

$$p_{c_0}=\overline{a}\gamma_{c_0}a\ ;\ \ \Omega_{c_0c_1}=\overline{a}\gamma_{[c_0}\gamma_{c_1]}c-\overline{c}\gamma_{[c_0}\gamma_{c_1]}a\ ;\ \ \omega=\overline{c}a+\overline{a}c\ ;\ \ \lambda_{c_0}=\overline{c}\gamma_{c_0}c\ .$$

Note, that solution (72) depends explicitly on time coordinate and is valid for $ct\ll\ell$. From (72) it follows,

$$\nabla_{c_1}(\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\xi)+\nabla_{c_0}(\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_1}\xi)=(2\omega\ell^{-1}+4\ell^{-2}\lambda_{c_2}x^{c_2})g_{c_0c_1}\ . \tag{73}$$

Thus, vector $\overline{\xi}\gamma_{c_0}\xi$ is solution to Killing eqs. (21). Note, that $T_{\xi}^{[c_0c_1]}=0$ (see (47).) It appears that $G^{c_0c_1}=C^{c_0c_1}+O(\ell^{-2j})$, where $1\le j$ and integer; $C^{c_0c_1}$ is constant traceless tensor. In asymptotically flat spacetime $C^{c_0c_1}=0$. If to select $\overline{a}=a^*\gamma_0$; $\overline{c}=c^*\gamma_0$, one obtains $4+6+1+4=15$ independent real coefficients p_{c_0}; $\Omega_{c_0c_1}=\Omega_{[c_0c_1]}$; ω; λ_{c_0}. From (64), (72) follows conservation of integrals,[3]

$$P^{c_0}\ =\ \int dV\sqrt{-g}G^{0c_0}\ ; \tag{74}$$

---

[3] These integrals contain perturbations of $G^{c_0c_1}$ due to non-zero $T_m^{c_0c_1}$, which is negligible compared to $T_{\xi}^{c_0c_1}$; $\frac{8\pi k}{\ell c^4}$ is relevant small parameter.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{c_0c_1} &= \int dV\sqrt{-g}\{G^{0c_0}x^{c_1} - G^{0c_1}x^{c_0}\}\ ; && (75)\\
Q &= \int dV\sqrt{-g}G^0_{c_0}x^{c_0}\ ; && (76)\\
S^{c_0} &= \int dV\sqrt{-g}\{G^0_{c_1}x^{c_1}x^{c_0} - \frac{1}{2}G^{0c_0}x_{c_1}x^{c_1}\}\ . && (77)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrals (74) and (75) correspond to energy-momentum and angular momenta. Integrals (76) and (77) do conserve only if $G = 0$. Really, introduce currents,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}^{c_0} &= G^{c_0}_{c_1}x^{c_1}\ ; && (78)\\
J^{c_0c_1} &= G^{c_0c_2}x_{c_2}x^{c_1} - \frac{1}{2}G^{c_0c_1}x_{c_2}x^{c_2}\ . && (79)
\end{aligned}
$$

One obtains, due to (40),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{c_0}\tilde{J}^{c_0} = G &= 0\ ; && (80)\\
\nabla_{c_0}J^{c_0c_1} = Gx^{c_1} &= 0\ . && (81)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating last two equations over 4-dim spacetime domain with adequate boundary and using Gauss theorem, one obtains conserving in time integrals (76) and (77). Integral (76) is analogous in meaning to (23), and it follows, by the way, that source (of fields) should be absent because its stress-energy isn't traceless.

6 Static spherically symmetric case

Consider Ricci-flat spacetime and use spherical coordinates. Assume, that $\tau^{c_0}_{c_0} = 0$ (eq. (48)) and $T^{c_0c_1}_m = 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{c_0c_1}\gamma_{c_0}\nabla_{c_1}\xi &= 0\ ; && (82)\\
\tau^{c_0c_1}(\overline{\xi}\nabla_{c_1})\gamma_{c_0} &= 0\ . && (83)
\end{aligned}
$$

Above I've used formula

$$
G^{c_0c_1} = C\tau^{c_0c_1}\ . \qquad (84)
$$

Other terms in $T^{(c_0c_1)}_{\xi}$ don't contribute, because using iterations method one should substitute in stress-energy (46) $G^{c_0c_1} = 0$ in first iteration *etc.* One might take standard Schwarzschild metric. I prefer to use metric in isotropic coordinates [7]. Interval is

$$
ds^2 = \left(\frac{r - r_o}{r + r_o}\right)^2 c^2dt^2 - \left(\frac{r + r_o}{r}\right)^4\{dr^2 + \ell^{-2}r^2(du^2 + \sin^2(\ell^{-1}u)dv^2)\}\ ; \qquad (85)
$$

here $u = \ell\theta,\ v = \ell\phi$, and $r_o = \frac{r_g}{4}$, where r_g is Schwarzschild parameter [1]. Relevant Dirac matrices are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_0 &= \left(\frac{r - r_o}{r + r_o}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\ ; && (86)\\
\gamma_1 &= \left(\frac{r + r_o}{r}\right)^2\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_1 \\ -\sigma_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\ ; && (87)\\
\gamma_2 &= \frac{(r + r_o)^2}{\ell r}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\ ; && (88)\\
\gamma_3 &= \frac{(r + r_o)^2}{\ell r}\sin(\ell^{-1}u)\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_3 \\ -\sigma_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\ . && (89)
\end{aligned}
$$

Following notations are used for linear combinations of standard Pauli matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\ell^{-1}u) & \sin(\ell^{-1}u)\exp(-i\ell^{-1}v) \\ \sin(\ell^{-1}u)\exp(i\ell^{-1}v) & -\cos(\ell^{-1}u) \end{bmatrix}\ ; && (90)\\
\sigma_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(\ell^{-1}u) & \cos(\ell^{-1}u)\exp(-i\ell^{-1}v) \\ \cos(\ell^{-1}u)\exp(i\ell^{-1}v) & \sin(\ell^{-1}u) \end{bmatrix}\ ; && (91)\\
\sigma_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i\exp(-i\ell^{-1}v) \\ i\exp(i\ell^{-1}v) & 0 \end{bmatrix}\ . && (92)
\end{aligned}
$$

Non-zero components of Christoffel symbol are

$$\Gamma^0_{01} = \frac{2r_o}{r^2 - r_o^2} \ ; \ \Gamma^1_{11} = -\frac{2r_o}{r(r+r_o)} \ ; \ \Gamma^2_{33} = -\ell^{-1}\sin(\ell^{-1}u)\cos(\ell^{-1}u) \ ; \ \Gamma^1_{22} = -\ell^{-2} r\left(\frac{r-r_o}{r+r_o}\right) \ ;$$

$$\Gamma^1_{00} = \frac{2r_o r^4 (r-r_o)}{(r+r_o)^7} \ ; \ \Gamma^2_{12} = \Gamma^3_{13} = \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{r-r_o}{r+r_o}\right) \ ; \ \Gamma^3_{23} = \ell^{-1}\cot(\ell^{-1}u) \ ; \ \Gamma^1_{33} = -\ell^{-2} r\left(\frac{r-r_o}{r+r_o}\right)\sin^2(\ell^{-1}u) \ .$$

Solving equations (44) for Γ_{c_0}, one finds spinorial connections,

$$\Gamma_0 = a_0\gamma_0\gamma_1 \ ; \ \Gamma_1 = 0 \ ; \ \Gamma_2 = a_2\gamma_1\gamma_2 \ ; \ \Gamma_3 = a_2\gamma_1\gamma_3 \ .$$

Here

$$a_0 = \frac{r_o r^4}{(r-r_o)(r+r_o)^5} \ ; \ a_2 = \frac{r_o r^3}{(r+r_o)^5} \ .$$

Select spinors, $\overline{\xi}$ and ξ, having components

$$\xi = [q(r;u)x, p(r;u)x]^T \ ; \quad \overline{\xi} = [\overline{q}(r;u)\overline{x}, \overline{p}(r;u)\overline{x}] \ ; \tag{93}$$

$$x = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}[\exp(-\frac{i}{2}\ell^{-1}v), +i\exp(+\frac{i}{2}\ell^{-1}v)]^T \ ; \tag{94}$$

$$\overline{x} = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}[\exp(+\frac{i}{2}\ell^{-1}v), -i\exp(-\frac{i}{2}\ell^{-1}v)] \ ; \tag{95}$$

$$\overline{\xi}\xi = (\overline{q}q + \overline{p}p) \ ; \ \overline{\xi}\gamma_0\xi = (\overline{q}q - \overline{p}p)\left(\frac{r-r_o}{r+r_o}\right) \ ; \ \overline{\xi}\gamma_1\xi = \overline{\xi}\gamma_2\xi = 0 \ ; \ \overline{\xi}\gamma_3\xi = (\overline{q}p - \overline{p}q)\frac{(r+r_o)^2}{\ell r}\sin(\ell^{-1}u) \ ; \tag{96}$$

I've used formulae,

$$\sigma_1\sigma_2 = i\sigma_3 \ ; \ \ \sigma_2\sigma_3 = i\sigma_1 \ ; \ \ \sigma_3\sigma_1 = i\sigma_2 \ ;$$

$$\overline{x}\sigma_3\partial_v x = 0 \ ; \ \ (\partial_v\overline{x})\sigma_3 x = 0 \ ; \ \ \overline{x}\partial_v x = (\partial_v\overline{x})x = 0 \ ;$$

$$\overline{x}\sigma_1\partial_v x = -i(2\ell)^{-1}\exp(-i\ell^{-1}u) \ ; \ \ (\partial_v\overline{x})\sigma_1 x = i(2\ell)^{-1}\exp(i\ell^{-1}u) \ ;$$

$$\overline{x}\sigma_2\partial_v x = -(2\ell)^{-1}\exp(-i\ell^{-1}u) \ ; \ \ (\partial_v\overline{x})\sigma_2 x = -(2\ell)^{-1}\exp(i\ell^{-1}u) \ ;$$

$$\overline{x}\sigma_1 x = \overline{x}\sigma_2 x = 0 \ ; \ \ \overline{x}\sigma_3 x = 1 \ .$$

Assume, that only non-zero components of $\overline{H}^{c_0c_1}$ are $\overline{H}^{01}$, $\overline{H}^{02}$, $\overline{H}^{13}$, and $\overline{H}^{23}$. Then, $\tau^{c_0c_1}$ has only four non-zero components,

$$\tau^{01} = \{\partial_u + \ell^{-1}\cot(\ell^{-1}u)\}\{g^{11}g^{22}\{\partial_u\overline{H}^0_1 - \partial_r\overline{H}^0_2\}\} \ ; \tag{97}$$

$$\tau^{02} = -\{\partial_r + \Gamma^{c_0}_{1c_0} + 2\Gamma^0_{10}\}\{g^{11}g^{22}\{\partial_u\overline{H}^0_1 - \partial_r\overline{H}^0_2\}\} \ ; \tag{98}$$

$$\tau^{31} = \{\partial_u + 3\ell^{-1}\cot(\ell^{-1}u)\}\{g^{11}g^{22}\{\partial_u\overline{H}^3_1 - \partial_r\overline{H}^3_2\}\} \ ; \tag{99}$$

$$\tau^{32} = -\{\partial_r + \Gamma^{c_0}_{1c_0} + 2\Gamma^3_{13}\}\{g^{11}g^{22}\{\partial_u\overline{H}^3_1 - \partial_r\overline{H}^3_2\}\} \ , \tag{100}$$

or

$$\tau^{lk} = \{\partial_j + \Gamma^{c_0}_{jc_0} + 2\Gamma^m_{jm}\}\{g^{kk}g^{jj}\{\partial_j\overline{H}^l_k - \partial_k\overline{H}^l_j\}\} \ ; \ m = l = (0,3) \ ; \ k = (1,2) \ ; \ j = 3-k \ . \tag{101}$$

I've put in (97)–(100) following formulae,

$$\overline{H}_{01} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{r-r_o}{r+r_o}\right)\Re\{\overline{q}\partial_r q - q\partial_r\overline{q} - \overline{p}\partial_r p + p\partial_r\overline{p}\} \ ; \tag{102}$$

$$\overline{H}_{02} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{r-r_o}{r+r_o}\right)\Re\{\overline{q}\partial_u q - q\partial_u\overline{q} - \overline{p}\partial_u p + p\partial_u\overline{p}\} \ ; \tag{103}$$

$$\overline{H}_{13} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(r+r_o)^2}{\ell r}\Re\{\sin(\ell^{-1}u)(\overline{q}\partial_r p - p\partial_r\overline{q} - \overline{p}\partial_r q + q\partial_r\overline{p}) - ir^{-1}\cos(\ell^{-1}u)(\overline{q}p - \overline{p}q)\} \ ; \tag{104}$$

$$\overline{H}_{23} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(r+r_o)^2}{\ell r}\sin(\ell^{-1}u)\Re\{\overline{q}\partial_u p - p\partial_u\overline{q} - \overline{p}\partial_u q + q\partial_u\overline{p} + i\ell^{-1}(\overline{q}p - \overline{p}q)\} \ . \tag{105}$$

For real $q;p;\overline{q};\overline{p}$, according to (96), $\overline{q}q-\overline{p}p=(g_{00})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\overline{q}p-\overline{p}q=(|g_{33}|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} q &= (g_{00})^{\frac{1}{4}}\cos\lambda\cdot N\ ;\ \ p=(g_{00})^{\frac{1}{4}}\sin\lambda\cdot N\ ; && (106)\\ \overline{q} &= (g_{00})^{\frac{1}{4}}\cos\lambda\cdot\overline{N}\ ;\ \ \overline{p}=-(g_{00})^{\frac{1}{4}}\sin\lambda\cdot\overline{N}\ ; && (107)\end{aligned}$$

where $N\cdot\overline{N}=1$;

$$\sin 2\lambda=\left(\frac{|g_{33}|}{g_{00}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq 1\ . \qquad (108)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u\overline{H}^0_1-\partial_r\overline{H}^0_2 &= +\frac{1}{2}(\partial_u\overline{N}\partial_r N-\partial_r\overline{N}\partial_u N)=0\ ; && (109)\\ \partial_u\overline{H}^3_1-\partial_r\overline{H}^3_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_u\overline{N}\partial_r N-\partial_r\overline{N}\partial_u N)=0\ . && (110)\end{aligned}$$

From eqs. (109), (110) one obtains regular solutions, $N=\exp(\nu);\overline{N}=\exp(-\nu)$ for arbitrary $\nu(\ell^{-1}r;\ell^{-1}u)$. It's interesting to observe, that ambiguity of ν might be removed by demanding $\overline{\xi}=\xi^*\gamma_0$, where ξ^* stands for complex conjugate and transpose of ξ. According to (108), solution (111) below is invalid, if

$$(r+r_o)^6(r-r_o)^{-2}r^{-2}\sin^2(\ell^{-1}u)>\ell^2\ .$$

Transferring to Schwarzschild coordinates, one obtains

$$\overline{\xi}\gamma_c\xi=\left(1-\frac{r_g}{r},0,0,\ell^{-2}r^2\sin^2\theta\right)\ . \qquad (111)$$

Vector (111) coincides with appropriate Killing vector. For black hole stress-energy only one non-zero component one obtains,

$$T^{00}=\frac{\mu c^2}{g_{00}}\ ,$$

where $\mu(x^\alpha)=M\delta^3(x^\alpha)$ is density of mass, defined in Cartesian coordinates. Using formulae (64) and (111), one obtains energy of black hole,

$$E=c^2\int\mu(x^\alpha)\ r^2dr\sin\theta d\theta d\phi=Mc^2\ .$$

(I transferred back to Cartesian coordinates to compute integral.)

7 Conclusion

In first part of paper few formulae for conserving quantities in GR originated on Robert Geroch's results are presented. In second part I've introduced pair of 4-dim spinorial fields $\overline{\xi}$ and ξ, allowing to construct conserving integrals for time-dependent case $|x^{c_0}|\ll\ell$, where $\{x^{c_0}\}$ are Cartesian coordinates, and for static spherically symmetric Ricci-flat case. One observes, that $\overline{\xi}\gamma_c\xi=\xi_c$ in second case. Actually, equations imposed on spinors in second case are $\tau^{c_1c_2}=0$, which don't spoil Ricci-flat solutions of respective Einstein equations. Is it true (i.e. $\tau^{c_1c_2}=0$) for any Ricci-flat solution? Note, that static spherically symmetric case may have another branch of solutions with $G^{c_0c_1}\neq 0$, $G=\tau^{c_0}_{c_0}=0$. It might be interesting to find spinors for, say, Kerr metric, for which Killing vector doesn't exist and verify expressions for energy and angular momenta (if any) for that case. It should be noted, that suggested approach admits generalizing for higher even-dimensional spacetime. In my opinion, suggested theory has defect, because it depends on parameter with measure of ℓ (e.g., solution for the second case doesn't work for all r.) ("I do not believe in micro- and macrolaws, but only in (structural) laws of general validity." Albert Einstein[4])

8 Acknowledgement

I wish to thank Christian Network and Boris Tsirelson professor of Tel-Aviv University. I'm grateful for spirit of support from Catholic Church and Lubavich Synagogue.

---

[4] Quoted in [2]

References

[1] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshits *The Classical Theory of Fields* Pergamon Press 1975

[2] L. A. Khalfin, B. S. Tsirelson *Foundations of Physics* vol 22 No 7 1992

[3] R. C. Tolman *Relativity Thermodynamics and Cosmology* Oxford U Press London 1934

[4] A. Papapetrou Proc R Ir Acad A52 11 (1948)

[5] P. G. Bergmann, R. Thompson Phys Rev 89 400 (1953)

[6] C. Møller Ann Phys (NY) 4 347 (1958)

[7] Robert M. Wald *General Relativity* U of Chicago Press 1984

[8] Steven Weinberg *Gravitation and Cosmology* John Wiley and Sons Inc NY 1972

[9] Ragab M. Gad arXiv:gr-qc/0403039